

ON THE LOCAL NEGATIVITY OF ENRIQUES AND K3 SURFACES

ROBERTO LAFACE AND PIOTR POKORA

ABSTRACT. In this note we study the local negativity for certain configurations of smooth rational curves on smooth K3 and Enriques surfaces. We show that for such rational curves there is a bound for the so-called local Harbourne constants, which measure the local negativity phenomenon. Moreover, we provide explicit examples of interesting configurations of rational curves on some K3 and Enriques surfaces and compute their local Harbourne constants.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we continue studies on the local negativity of algebraic surfaces. In last years there is a resurgence around questions related to negative curves on algebraic surfaces. One of the most challenging problems is the Bounded Negativity Conjecture (BNC in short).

Conjecture 1.1 (Bounded Negativity Conjecture). *Let X be a smooth projective surface defined over a field of characteristic zero. Then there exists an integer $b(X) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all reduced curves $C \subset X$ one has $C^2 \geq -b(X)$.*

It is easy to see that the number $b(X)$ depending on X can be arbitrary large. In order to see this phenomenon consider the blow up X_s of the projective plane $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ along $s \gg 0$ mutually distinct points P_1, \dots, P_s lying on a line l . It is easy to see that the strict transform of l has the form $\tilde{l}_s := H - E_1 - \dots - E_s$, where H is the pull back of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2}(1)$ and E_1, \dots, E_s are the exceptional divisor, and $\tilde{l}_s^2 = -s + 1$. Moreover, it is not difficult to see that $b(X_s) = -s + 1$. In order to avoid such situations we can define an asymptotic version of self-intersection numbers, in the case of arbitrary blow ups of the projective plane one can divide the self-intersection number of a reduced curve by the number of point we blown up our surface. It turns out that this approach is more effective in the context of the BNC.

It is worth pointing out that the BNC is widely open, but there are some cases for which we know $b(X)$. It can be shown that the BNC is true for

Date: March 17, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14C20; Secondary 14J70.

Key words and phrases. rational curve configurations, algebraic surfaces, Miyaoka inequality, blow-ups, negative curves, bounded negativity conjecture, Harbourne constants.

minimal models with Kodaira dimension equal to zero. In particular, we know that for K3 or Enriques surfaces every reduced and irreducible curve C has $C^2 \geq -2$ by the adjunction formula. However, it is not known whether the BNC still holds for blow-ups of those surfaces along sets of points.

The main aim of this note is to study the BNC for blow ups of K3 and Enriques surfaces from the point of view of Harbourne constants, which were introduced in [2], and allow to measure the negativity phenomenon asymptotically. Before we define the main object of this paper, we need to recall some standard notions.

Definition 1.2 (The numbers t_i). Let \mathcal{C} be a configuration of finitely many mutually distinct smooth curves on a projective surface X . We say that P is an r -fold point of the configuration \mathcal{C} , if it is contained in exactly r irreducible components of \mathcal{C} . The union of all r -fold points $P \in \mathcal{C}$ for $r \geq 2$, is the singular set $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} . We set the number $t_r = t_r(\mathcal{C})$ to be the number of r -fold points in \mathcal{C} .

We will mostly deal with configurations of smooth curves having only transversal intersection points. Letting $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$ be an arrangement of such curves on X , consider the blow up of X along the set $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})$, with the exceptional divisors E_1, \dots, E_s ($s = \#\text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})$). Let $C := C_1 + \dots + C_n$, and let \tilde{C} be the strict transform of C . Then the divisor

$$\tilde{C} + E_1 + \dots + E_s$$

is a *simple normal crossing* divisor on Y , meaning that

- (1) it is reduced;
- (2) its irreducible components are all smooth;
- (3) there are at most two irreducible components going through a point of the divisor (i.e. at the singular points, the divisor locally looks like the intersection of the coordinate axes in \mathbb{C}^2).

Simple normal crossing divisors come pretty handy, as it is quite easy to compute their Chern numbers, a fact that we will employ in our computations (see the proof of Theorem 2.1). In the present note, we are interested in Harbourne constants attached to transversal arrangements, *i.e.* arrangements of curves such that all singular points are intersection points of irreducible components and these singular points are transversal. They can be viewed as a way to measure the average negativity coming from singular points in the arrangement.

Definition 1.3 (Harbourne constants of a transversal arrangement). Let X be a smooth projective surface. Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^r C_i$ be a transversal arrangement of curves on X with $s = s(D)$. The rational number

$$h(X; D) = h(D) = \frac{1}{s} \left(D^2 - \sum_{P \in \text{Sing}(D)} m_P^2 \right), \quad (1)$$

where m_P is the multiplicity of the divisor D at the point P , is the *Harbourne constant of the transversal arrangement* $D \subset X$.

We can also express the Harbourne constant in terms of the t_i 's as follows:

$$h(D) = \frac{1}{s} \left(D^2 - \sum_{r \geq 2} r^2 t_r \right).$$

2. A BOUND ON LOCAL HARBOURNE CONSTANTS ON ENRIQUES AND K3 SURFACES

We would like to focus on the case of configurations of smooth rational curves on K3 and Enriques surfaces having only transversal intersection points. We start with the following result which is a generalization of a result due to Miyaoka [12, Section 2.4].

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a smooth complex projective K3 or Enriques surface and let $\mathcal{C} \subset X$ be a configuration of smooth rational curves having n irreducible components and only transversal intersection points. Then*

$$4n - t_2 + \sum_{r \geq 3} (r - 4)t_r \leq 72.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$ be a configuration of smooth rational curves on X . If $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})$ denotes the set of singular points of the configuration, we define $S = \{p_j\}_{j=1}^k$ to be the subset of points in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})$ with multiplicity ≥ 3 . Consider the blow-up of X at the points of S , namely

$$\sigma : Y \longrightarrow X;$$

under pull-back along σ , the configuration \mathcal{C} on X yields a configuration $\sigma^*\mathcal{C}$ which consists of the strict transforms of the C_i 's and the exceptional divisors. Notice that $\sigma^*\mathcal{C}$ is again a configuration of smooth rational curves that admits double points only as singularities. Following [12, Section 2.4], we set $L := C_1 + \dots + C_n$ and $M := \tilde{C}_1 + \dots + \tilde{C}_n$. The idea is to use the Miyaoka-Yau inequality

$$3c_2(Y) - 3e(M) \geq (K_Y + M)^2,$$

and thus we now need to compute the terms in the above inequality. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(Y) &= c_2(X) + k, \\ e(M) &= 2n - t_2, \end{aligned}$$

which yield $c_2(Y) - e(M) = c_2(X) + k - 2n + t_2$. The assumption on X being either a K3 surface or an Enriques surface implies in particular that the canonical divisor K_X is numerically trivial. Therefore, if $E := \sum_{j=1}^k E_j$

is the sum of all exceptional divisors, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_Y + M &= \sigma^*(K_X + L) - \sum_{j=1}^k (m_j - 1)E_j, \\ (K_Y + M)^2 &= L^2 - \sum_{j=1}^k (m_j - 1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$K_Y + M = (\sigma^*K_X + E) + M = \sigma^*K_X + (E + M),$$

and as K_X is numerically trivial, also σ^*K_X is, and thus $K_Y + M$ is numerically equivalent to an effective divisor. This allows us to use the Miyaoka-Yau inequality according to [12, Cor. 2.1]. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} L^2 &= -2n + 2 \sum_{i < j} C_i \cdot C_j \\ &= -2n + 2 \sum_{r \geq 2} \binom{r}{2} t_r \\ &= -2n + 2t_2 + 2 \sum_{r \geq 3} \binom{r}{2} t_r. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (K_Y + M)^2 &= -2n + 2t_2 + 2 \sum_{r \geq 3} \binom{r}{2} t_r - \sum_{j=1}^k (m_j - 1)^2 \\ &= -2n + 2t_2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{m_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k (m_j - 1)^2 \\ &= -2n + 2t_2 + \sum_{j=1}^k (m_j - 1). \end{aligned}$$

By plugging in the Miyaoka-Yau inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 3c_2(X) &\geq 4n - t_2 - 3k + \sum_{j=1}^k (m_j - 1) \\ &= 4n - t_2 + \sum_{j=1}^k (m_j - 4) \\ &= 4n - t_2 + \sum_{r \geq 3} (r - 4)t_r, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from the fact $c_2(X) = 24$ for a K3 surface and $c_2(X) = 12$ for an Enriques surface. \square

Now we can prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a smooth complex projective K3 or Enriques surface and let \mathcal{C} be a configuration of smooth rational curves having n irreducible components and only $s \geq 1$ transversal intersection points. Then*

$$h(X; \mathcal{C}) \geq -4 + \frac{2n + t_2 - 72}{s}$$

Proof. If $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$, the Harbourne constant is computed by \tilde{L}^2/s , where $L := C_1 + \dots + C_n$, and \tilde{L} is its strict transform in the blow-up at the s singular points of the configuration. We observe that

$$\tilde{L}^2/s = \frac{L^2 - \sum_j m_j^2}{s} = \frac{-2n + I_d - \sum_{r \geq 2} r^2 t_r}{s},$$

where $I_d := 2 \sum_{i < j} C_i \cdot C_j$ is the number of incidences of the collection \mathcal{C} of rational curves on X . The proof of [14, Main Theorem] shows that

$$I_d - \sum_{r \geq 2} r^2 t_r = - \sum_{r \geq 2} r t_r,$$

and moreover, by arguing in a similar way, we can rephrase the bound in Theorem 2.1 in the following way:

$$- \sum_{r \geq 2} r t_r \geq -4s + 4n + t_2 - 72.$$

This yields

$$h(X; \mathcal{C}) \geq -4 + \frac{2n + t_2 - 72}{s},$$

and we are done. \square

Remark 2.3. For configurations of rational curves on Enriques surfaces, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 yield even stronger bounds: as the topological Euler characteristic of any Enriques surface is 12, we obtain sharper bounds by replacing every occurrence of 72 by 36 (recall that for a K3 surface X we have $3c_2(X) = 72$).

Let us define the following number.

Definition 2.4. Let X be a smooth complex projective K3 or Enriques surface. The real number

$$H_{\text{rational}}(X) = \inf_D h(X; D),$$

where the infimum is taken over all transversal arrangements of smooth rational curves $D \subset X$ is the *global rational Harbourne constant* of X .

Corollary 2.5. *Let X be a smooth complex projective K3 or Enriques surface. Then*

$$H_{\text{rational}}(X) \geq -72.$$

3. EXAMPLES

We now give a few examples of interesting configurations of smooth rational curves on K3 and Enriques surfaces. We will use Theorem 2.1 to give a lower bound for the Harbourne constants.

Example 3.1 (Six general lines in \mathbb{P}^2). In the complex projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 , consider six lines in general position, and denote this configuration by \mathcal{L} .




FIGURE 1. Six lines in general position in \mathbb{P}^2 .

This configuration has only double points as singularities, and their number is the maximum possible of 15. Let Y denote the 2:1 cover of \mathbb{P}^2 branched over the configuration \mathcal{L} : it is a normal surface with 15 singularities of type A_1 , namely the points sitting over the intersection of the six lines in \mathcal{L} . We can resolve the singularities of Y by blowing-up once at each singular point; this yields a smooth surface X , which is a K3 surface by general theory. Alternatively, we could have first blown-up \mathbb{P}^2 at the singular points of \mathcal{L} , and then taken a 2:1 cover branched over the strict transforms of the six lines (which are disjoint after performing a blow-up).

On the K3 surface X , we have a new configuration of curves, which we call \mathcal{C} , given by the union of the strict transforms of the six lines and the exceptional divisors. Notice that \mathcal{C} consists of $6 + 15 = 21$ (-2) -curves which intersect at 30 points of multiplicity two, thus $n = 21$, $t_2 = 30$ and $t_r = 0$ for all $r \geq 3$. We can compute the Harbourne constant:

$$h(X; \mathcal{C}) = \frac{18 - 120}{30} \approx -3.4666,$$

which together with the lower bound of Theorem 2.2 yields

$$-4 \leq h(X; \mathcal{C}) = -102/30 \approx -3.4666.$$

Example 3.2 (Vinberg configuration 1). In [16], Vinberg described two the most algebraic K3 surfaces: these are the K3 surfaces X_4 and X_3 of transcendental lattice

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. Thanks to results of Shioda and Mitani [10], Shioda and Inose [9], and to the fact that the class groups of discriminants -4 and -3 are trivial, it follows that X_4 and X_3 are the unique K3 surfaces of maximum Picard number and discriminant with minimum absolute value possible.

We start considering the surface X_4 , and we recall how to build a model for it which is pretty convenient for our purposes. In the complex projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 , we consider the configuration \mathcal{L} of lines given by

$$\mathcal{L} : xyz(x-y)(x-z)(x-y) = 0.$$

This configuration has three double points and four triple points. By blowing-up \mathbb{P}^2 in the four triple points, we obtain a del Pezzo surface S with a configuration of ten (-1) -curves, namely the strict transforms of the six lines of \mathcal{L} together with the four exceptional divisors. These ten (-1) -curves form a divisor B on S , which is simple normal crossing, with only 15 double points as singularities. After blowing-up these 15 double points, we get a surface S' , with 15 (-1) -curves (the exceptional divisors) and 10 (-2) -curves (the strict transforms of the irreducible components of B , which are now mutually disjoint). By taking a 2:1 cover of S' we obtain the K3 surface X_4 , equipped with a configuration \mathcal{V} of 25 smooth rational curves and 30 double points, which is described by the Petersen graph in Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. The Petersen graph.

The 15 edges of the graph correspond to exceptional divisors and the 10 red dots correspond to curves from B ; therefore, $n = 25$, $t_2 = 30$ and $t_r = 0$ for all $r \geq 3$. We now compute the Harbourne constant for this configuration: we have

$$h(X; \mathcal{V}) = \frac{(C_1 + \cdots + C_{25})^2 - \sum_j m_j^2}{s} = \frac{10 - 120}{30} \approx -3.666,$$

as we somehow expected from the devilish shape of the Petersen graph; together with the bound in Theorem 2.2, this yields

$$-3.7333 \approx -112/30 \leq h(X; \mathcal{V}) = -110/30 \approx -3.666.$$

Vinberg's X_4 surface appears also in a different interesting context of the maximal possible cardinality of a finite complete family of incident planes in \mathbb{P}^5 – we refer to [6] for details and results.

Example 3.3 (Vinberg configuration 2). Turning to the K3 surface X_3 , Vinberg [16] provides the reader with a particularly nice birational model, a complete intersection of a quadric and a cubic in \mathbb{P}^4 , which we call Y :

$$\begin{cases} y^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 2(x_2x_3 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_2) \\ z^3 = x_1x_2x_3 \end{cases}.$$

This model contains 9 singular points of type A_2 , namely:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= [0 : 1 : 0 : 1 : 0], & p_2 &= [0 : 1 : 0 : -1 : 0], & p_3 &= [0 : 1 : 1 : 0 : 0], \\ p_4 &= [1 : 1 : 0 : 0 : 0], & p_5 &= [0 : 0 : 1 : 1 : 0], & p_6 &= [0 : 0 : 1 : -1 : 0], \\ p_7 &= [1 : 0 : 1 : 0 : 0], & p_8 &= [1 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0], & p_9 &= [1 : 0 : 0 : -1 : 0]. \end{aligned}$$

There are 6 lines lying on X_3 , each of which contains three of the singular points, in such a way that each singular point is the intersection point of exactly two of the lines. More precisely, the lines are

$$L_{ijk} : z = x_i = y - (x_j - x_k) = 0,$$

for any $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i \neq j \neq k \neq i$.

The configuration consisting of these 6 lines is shown in Figure 3.




FIGURE 3. Dual graph of the six lines L_{ij} on Y .

We can resolve the singularities of Y by blowing-up twice each singular point, in order to get a smooth K3 surface, namely X_3 : resolving each singularity yields two exceptional divisors, which are in fact (-2) -curves as X_3 is a K3 surface. The exceptional divisors together with the strict transforms of the six lines on Y yields a new configuration, which we call \mathcal{W} : it consists of $n = 6 + 2 \cdot 9 = 24$ smooth rational curves and it has only double points as

singularities, thus $t_2 = 3 \cdot 9 = 27$ and $t_r = 0$ for all $r \geq 3$. We now get the Harbourne constant:

$$h(X; \mathcal{W}) = \frac{(C_1 + \cdots + C_{24})^2 - \sum_{r \geq 2} r^2 t_r}{s} = \frac{6 - 27 \cdot 4}{27} \approx -3.777,$$

and by Theorem 2.2 it follows that

$$-3.888 \approx -35/9 \leq h(X; \mathcal{W}) = -3.777.$$

Example 3.4 (16₆-configuration). Let A be an abelian surface with an irreducible principal polarization. We are going to be interested in the singular Kummer surface K given by the quotient of A by the involution $(-1)_A$ (for a detailed account, see [3, Ch. 10, Sec. 2]). Suppose L is a symmetric line bundle on X defining the principal polarization; then, the map $\varphi_{L^2} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ defined by the linear system $|L^2|$ factors through an embedding of K in \mathbb{P}^3 . The singular Kummer surface $K \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ has 16 ordinary double points as singularities, namely the images of the 2-divison points. Moreover, the 16 line bundles algebraically equivalent to L yield 16 planes which are tangent to K and intersect K along 16 conics (these planes are typically called tropes). This gives rise to the 16₆ configuration on the Kummer surface: there are 16 points and 16 planes, each point is contained in exactly 6 planes, and each plane contains exactly 6 points. The points at which each pair of conics intersects are points of transversal intersection, as the conics lie in different planes.

Consider the blow-up at the 16 singular points of $K \subset \mathbb{P}^3$: as these are ordinary double points, one blow-up at each point is enough to resolve the singularities of K , and so we obtain a smooth K3 surface X . Since the conics of K intersect transversally, locally over the blown-up points we get a tree of smooth rational (-2) -curves which consists of the exceptional divisor being intersected by the strict transforms of the six conics (which are now mutually disjoint).

Consider the configuration $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_{32}\}$ of (-2) -curves on X consisting of the 16 exceptional divisors and the strict transforms of the 16 conics on K : these curves only meet in double points because we have blown-up all the intersection points of the conics, and the number of double points is exactly $6 \cdot 16 = 96$. Therefore, for the configuration \mathcal{C} we have $n = 32$, $t_2 = 96$ and $t_r = 0$ for $r \geq 3$. The Harbourne constant is then

$$h(X; \mathcal{C}) = \frac{(C_1 + \cdots + C_{32})^2 - \sum_{r \geq 2} r^2 t_r}{s} = -8/3 \approx -2.666,$$

and together with the lower bound of Theorem 2.2 this shows that

$$-3.08333 \approx -296/96 \leq h(X; \mathcal{C}) = -8/3 \approx -2.666.$$

Example 3.5 (Schur quartic surface). Let S be the quartic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 given by

$$S : x^4 - xy^3 = z^4 - zw^3.$$

The surface S is called the Schur quartic surface, and it is the surface that achieves the upper bound of 64 lines for quartic surfaces (see, for example, [15]). The 64 lines on S are divided into two classes, namely lines of the 1st kind and of the 2nd kind. Lines of different kind can be distinguished according to the singular fibers of the fibration they induce on S ; the singular fibers of an elliptic fibration induced by a line of the 1st or 2nd kind are depicted in Figures 4 and 5




FIGURE 4. Singular fibers induced by a line of 1st kind.




FIGURE 5. Singular fibers induced by a line of 2nd kind.

The configuration \mathcal{S} of lines on S counts 64 lines, 8 quadruple points, 64 triple points and 336 double points. We can extract a subconfiguration $\check{\mathcal{S}}$ of \mathcal{S} , which is obtained by only considering the lines of the 2nd kind: this configuration consists of 16 lines and only 8 quadruple points. We can now compute the Harbourne constant in this case:

$$h(S; \check{\mathcal{S}}) = -8.$$

The lower bound given by Theorem 2.2 finally yields

$$-9 \leq h(S; \check{\mathcal{S}}) = -8.$$

It is interesting to notice that the same is achieved by means of the Bauer configuration of lines on the Fermat quartic surface

$$F: x^4 + y^4 + z^4 + w^4 = 0,$$

as it is shown in [14, Example 4.3]. However, we remark that, in the case of the Fermat surface, all lines are of the 1st kind.

Example 3.6 (Double Kummer pencil). Let E and E' be two elliptic curves. Recall that any elliptic curve is a 2:1 cover of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified at 4 points, and that the 4 ramification points are exactly the 2-torsion points of the elliptic curve (to see this, work with an elliptic curve in Legendre form). Consider the product (abelian) surface $E \times E'$, which comes with two projections onto the factors. We can see a configuration \mathcal{E} of 8 elliptic curves on $E \times E'$: these are the fibers of p over the 2-torsion points of E , which we call C_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$), together with the fibers of p' over the 2-torsion points of E' , denoted by D_j ($1 \leq j \leq 4$). Each C_i intersects all D_j 's, and viceversa, thus $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{E})$ consists of 16 points, which in turn are the 2-torsion points of $E \times E'$. We can now consider the K3 surface $\text{Km}(E \times E')$, the Kummer surface of $E \times E'$, obtain by first quotienting by the action of $(-1)_{E \times E'}$ and then resolving the 16 singularities of type A_1 . The configuration \mathcal{E} yields a configuration \mathcal{K} of (-2) -curves on $\text{Km}(E \times E')$, which consists of the images of the curves C_i and D_j ($1 \leq i, j \leq 4$) in $\text{Km}(E \times E')$ and the 16 exceptional divisors (with their reduced structure). The configuration \mathcal{K} is the *double Kummer pencil configuration*, and it consists of $n = 24$ (-2) -curves intersecting only at $t_2 = 2 \cdot 16 = 32$ double points (see Figure 6).




FIGURE 6. The double Kummer pencil configuration.

This yields the following Harbourne constant:

$$h(\text{Km}(E \times E'), \mathcal{K}) = -14/4 \approx -3.5,$$

which combined with Theorem 2.2 results in

$$-3.75 = -15/4 \leq h(X; \mathcal{K}) = -14/4 = -3.5.$$

Example 3.7 (Enriques surfaces covered by symmetric quartic surfaces). This example is borrowed from a recent paper of Mukai and Ohashi [13].

Let \bar{X} be the quartic in \mathbb{P}^3 given as the zero locus of

$$\bar{X} : \left(\sum_{i < j} x_i x_j \right)^2 = k x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3.$$

This is a singular hypersurface, with four singularities of type D_4 , namely the vertices of the fundamental tetrahedron. The coordinate planes cut \bar{X} in conics with multiplicity two, which are also called *tropes*, and each one of these conics passes through 3 of the singular points. After resolving the D_4 -singularities, we obtain a K3 surface X , which is equipped with an interesting configuration \mathcal{C} of (-2) -curves, namely the exceptional divisors coming from the resolution of the singularities and the strict transforms of the tropes. The configuration \mathcal{C} is described by the dual graph in Figure 7, where all the intersections are points of multiplicity two, thus $n = 20$, $t_2 = 24$ and $t_r = 0$ for all $r \geq 3$. We can compute the Harbourne constant




FIGURE 7. The configuration \mathcal{C} of smooth rational curves on X .

for this configuration:

$$h(X; \mathcal{C}) = -11/3 \approx 3.666,$$


and thanks to Theorem 2.2 we also see that

$$-4.333 \approx -13/3 \leq h(X; \mathcal{C}) = -11/3 \approx 3.666.$$

From the K3 surface X , we can construct an Enriques surface with an interesting configuration of smooth rational curves. The singular surface \bar{X} is endowed with the standard Cremona transformation

$$\varepsilon : [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \mapsto [x_0^{-1} : x_1^{-1} : x_2^{-1} : x_3^{-1}],$$

which extends to a morphism on the blown-up surface X . For general values of k (precise conditions are given in [13, pag. 1]), there are no fixed points of ε on X , and thus the quotient $X/\varepsilon =: S$ is an Enriques surface. The morphism ε acts on the cube-shaped diagram in Figure 7 by point symmetry (i.e. symmetry with respect to the center of the cube), and thus the quotient diagram is the tetrahedron graph in Figure 8, also known as the 10A configuration in Mukai-Ohashi's notation.

FIGURE 8. The 10A configuration on the Enriques surface S .

The graph describes the interaction of the images of the rational curves on X modulo quotient by ε . We now compute the Harbourne constant for such a configuration of curves:

$$h(X; 10A) = -11/3 \approx 3.666.$$

For Enriques surfaces, the bound in Theorem 2.2 takes the stronger form

$$h(X; 10A) \geq -4 + \frac{2n + t_2 - 36}{s} = -13/3,$$

and thus

$$-4.333 \approx -13/3 \leq h(X; 10A) = -11/3 \approx 3.666.$$

Example 3.8 (A Hessian K3 surface and its Enriques quotient). The last example we would like to present uses the construction of the Hessian K3 surface associated to a cubic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 ; details can be found in [4]. Let S be a smooth cubic surface defined by the Sylvester form

$$S : \quad \sum_{i=0}^4 x_i^3 = \sum_{i=0}^4 x_i = 0.$$

The union of the five planes in \mathbb{P}^3 defined by $x_i = 0$ is called the pentahedron of S . The 10 edges L_{ijk} of the pentahedron are lines on S . We can consider the Hessian Y of S : it is the (singular) surface defined by

$$Y : \quad (x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4) \sum_{i=0}^4 \frac{1}{x_i} = \sum_{i=0}^4 x_i = 0.$$

The ten lines L_{ij} lie on Y , and the vertices P_{ijk} of the pentahedron are the singular points of Y (double points). The desingularization X of Y is a K3 surface, called the Hessian K3 surface associate to S . There are 20 rational curves on X , 10 of which are the strict transforms of the L_{ij} 's, and we will call them by N_{ij} . The remaining ones are the curves arising from the resolution of the singularities at the P_{ijk} 's, and they will be denoted by N_{ijk} .

We can find 20 more rational curves on X by looking at the Eckardt points of S . A smooth cubic surface has 27 lines and 45 plane sections which are unions of three lines. In case three coplanar lines meet at a single point, this point is called an *Eckardt point*. Each Eckardt point on S yields a pair of lines on Y meeting at one of the P_{ijk} 's, for a total of 20 lines. The strict transforms of these extra lines yield 20 new rational curves on X . We can

read off the intersection numbers of all these curves from [4, Sections 1-2]: the configuration \mathcal{C} given by the 40 aforementioned rational curves has 130 double points. The Harbourne constant is

$$h(X; \mathcal{C}) = \frac{180 - 520}{130} = -34/13 \approx -2.615384;$$

combining with Theorem 2.2, we get

$$-2.9384615 \approx -4 + \frac{138}{130} \leq h(X; \mathcal{C}) = -34/13 \approx -2.615384.$$

From X , we can cook up an Enriques surface \bar{X} : every Hessian quartic surface is equipped with a birational involution, which becomes a fixed-point-free morphism on the Hessian K3 surface, yielding an Enriques surface by taking the quotient [5]. Consider X as above, equipped with its Enriques involution τ : this automorphism swaps N_{ijk} and N_{lm} (for $\{i, j, k, l, m\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$), and it also swaps the two rational curves arising from each Eckardt point. As none of the curves in \mathcal{C} is fixed by τ , on the Enriques quotient \bar{X} , we obtain a configuration $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ of 20 rational curves, meeting at 65 double points. As the local intersections are preserved, the Harbourne constant and its lower bound remain unchanged:

$$-2.9384615 \approx -4 + \frac{69}{65} \leq h(\bar{X}; \bar{\mathcal{C}}) = -34/13 \approx -2.615384.$$

Acknowledgement. The present paper has grown out from discussions the authors had during the time when Piotr Pokora was visiting Roberto Laface at the Leibniz Universität Hannover. It is a great pleasure to thank the Institut für Algebraische Geometrie for generous fundings and excellent working conditions. The authors would like to express their gratitude to Klaus Hulek and Matthias Schütt for stimulating discussions about the topic, Igor Dolgachev for pointing out Example 3.8, and Bert van Geemen for sharing his insights on the subject. The first-named author would like to thank Davide Cesare Veniani for sharing his insights on some of the examples. The second author would like to express his gratitude to Łukasz Sienkiewicz for pointing out Vinberg's paper [16] and his construction, and to Stefan Müller-Stach for useful comments. The second author is partially supported by National Science Centre Poland Grant 2014/15/N/ST1/02102 and SFB 45 *Periods, moduli spaces and arithmetic of algebraic varieties*.

REFERENCES

- [1] Th. Bauer & B. Harbourne & A. L. Knutsen & A. Küronya & S. Müller-Stach & X. Roulleau & T. Szemberg: Negative curves on algebraic surfaces. *Duke Math. J.* **162**: 1877 – 1894 (2013).
- [2] Th. Bauer & S. Di Rocco & B. Harbourne & J. Huizenga & A. Lundman & P. Pokora & T. Szemberg: Bounded Negativity and Arrangements of Lines, *International Mathematical Research Notices* **vol. 2015**: 9456 - 9471 (2015), doi:10.1093/imrn/RNU236.
- [3] C. Birkenhake & H. Lange: Complex abelian varieties, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, **302**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2004).

- [4] E. Dardanelli & B. van Geemen: Hessians and the moduli space of cubic surfaces. *Comtemp. Math.* **422**: 17 – 36 (2007).
- [5] I. Dolgachev & J. Keum: Birational automorphisms of quartic Hessian surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354**(8): 3031 – 3057 (2002).
- [6] M. Donten-Bury & B. van Geemen & G. Kapustka & M. Kapustka & J. A. Wiśniewski: A very special EPW sextic and two IHS fourfolds, [arXiv:1509.06214](#).
- [7] B. Harbourne: Global aspects of the geometry of surfaces. *Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica* **vol. IX**: 5 – 41 (2010).
- [8] F. Hirzebruch: Arrangement of lines and Algebraic surfaces. Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. II, 113–140, Progr. Math., 36, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1983.
- [9] H. Inose & T. Shioda: On singular K3 surfaces, *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry*, pp. 119–136. Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo (1977).
- [10] N. Mitani & T. Shioda: Singular abelian surfaces and binary quadratic forms, *Classification of algebraic varieties and compact complex manifolds*, pp. 259 – 287. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 412, Springer, Berlin (1974).
- [11] Y. Miyaoka: On the Chern numbers of surfaces of general type. *Invent. Math.* **42**(1): 225 – 237 (1977).
- [12] Y. Miyaoka: The maximal number of quotient singularities on surfaces with given numerical invariants, *Math. Ann.* **268**: 159 – 171 (1984).
- [13] S. Mukai & H. Ohashi: The automorphism group of Enriques surfaces covered by symmetric quartic surfaces, *Recent advances in algebraic geometry*, 307–320, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 417, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2015).
- [14] P. Pokora: Harbourne constants and arrangements of lines on smooth hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$, to appear in *Taiwanese J. Math.*, [arXiv:1505.03822](#), doi:10.11650/tjm.19.2015.6338.
- [15] S. Rams & M. Schütt: 64 lines on smooth quartic surfaces, *Math. Ann.* **362** no. 1-2: 679 – 698 (2015).
- [16] E. B. Vinberg: The two most algebraic K3 surfaces, *Math. Ann.* **265**: 1 – 21 (1983).

ROBERTO LAFACE

INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRAISCHE GEOMETRIE, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, WELFENGARTEN 1, D-30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

E-mail address: laface@math.uni-hannover.de

PIOTR POKORA

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY OF CRACOW, PODCHORĄŻYCH 2, PL-30-084 KRAKÓW, POLAND.

Current address: Institut für Mathematik, Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz, Staudingerweg 9, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

E-mail address: piotrpk@gmail.com, pipokora@uni-mainz.de